

Econ 5700 slides

Growth models: Solow, Diamond, Malthus

September 3, 2019

## Basics: terminology etc.

Growth models are dynamic models: involve time,  $t$

Here focus on *discrete-time* models: the variable  $t$  (time) is a (non-negative) integer:  $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

Variables usually written  $x_t$

Not “ $x(t)$ ” which is typically continuous time notation

Discrete-time variable cannot be differentiated with respect to  $t$

Dynamics described by difference equations:  $x_{t+1} = \phi(x_t)$

## *Difference equations*

Mostly on the form  $x_{t+1} = \phi(x_t)$

$\bar{x}$  is a steady state (or steady-state equilibrium) to  $x_{t+1} = \phi(x_t)$  if, and only if,  $\bar{x} = \phi(\bar{x})$

Means that  $\bar{x}$  is a *fixed point* to  $\phi(x)$

## *Stability*

$\bar{x}$  is locally stable if, and only if,  $\phi'(\bar{x}) \in (-1, 1)$

$\bar{x}$  is globally stable if  $x_t$  converges to  $\bar{x}$  regardless of starting value,  $x_0$

- Usually equivalent to  $\bar{x}$  being (a) locally stable, and (b) unique

If  $\phi'(\bar{x}) < 0$  the steady state is called *oscillatory*

Locally stable steady state:  $\phi'(\bar{x}) \in (0, 1)$

Locally unstable steady state:  $\phi'(\bar{x}) > 1$

Oscillatory locally stable steady state:  $\phi'(\bar{x}) \in (-1, 0)$

Oscillatory locally non-stable steady state:  $\phi'(\bar{x}) < -1$

## Production functions

Standard setting:  $Y$ =output,  $K$  (capital) and  $L$  (labor)

$K$ ,  $L$  referred to as inputs

$$Y = F(K, L) \quad (1)$$

Usually assumed to satisfy:

(1) Positive marginal products:

$$F_K(\cdot) > 0, F_L(\cdot) > 0 \quad (2)$$

(2) Diminishing marginal products:

$$F_{KK}(\cdot) < 0, F_{LL}(\cdot) < 0 \quad (3)$$

(3) The Inada condition:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{Z \rightarrow 0} F_Z(\cdot) &= \infty \\ \lim_{Z \rightarrow \infty} F_Z(\cdot) &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

for  $Z = K, L$

(4) Constant Returns to Scale (CRS):

$$\lambda F(K, L) = F(\lambda K, \lambda L)\tag{5}$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$

### *Intensive-form production function*

Let lower-case variables denote per-worker levels

CRS implies

$$y = \frac{Y}{L} = \frac{F(K, L)}{L} = F\left(\frac{K}{L}, 1\right) = F(k, 1) \equiv f(k) \quad (6)$$

Assumptions (1)-(3) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(k) &> 0 \\ f''(k) &< 0 \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} f'(k) &= \infty \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f'(k) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$



Also, using l'Hôpital's Rule:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(k)}{k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'(k)}{1} = \infty \quad (8)$$

## *Factor prices*

Atomistic firms take factor prices as given when maximizing profits:

$$\max_{K,L} F(K, L) - \delta K - wL - rK \quad (9)$$

$w$ =wage rate,  $r$ =real interest rate,  $\delta$ =depreciation rate

$F(K, L) - \delta K$ =net output (output net of capital depreciation)

Rewrite  $F(K, L) = Lf(k) = Lf(\frac{K}{L})$

Exercise: show that

$$\begin{aligned} w &= F_L(K, L) = f(k) - f'(k)k \\ r &= F_K(K, L) - \delta = f'(k) - \delta \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

*Parametric examples of production functions*

Cobb-Douglas:

$$\begin{aligned} F(K, L) &= K^\alpha L^{1-\alpha} \\ f(k) &= k^\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

CES (various formulations):

$$\begin{aligned} F(K, L) &= [\alpha K^\sigma + (1 - \alpha)L^\sigma]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \\ f(k) &= [\alpha k^\sigma + (1 - \alpha)]^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\sigma \in (-\infty, 1]$ ,  $\sigma \neq 0$

Note: CES does not always satisfy Inada

## The Solow Growth Model

Discrete time setting: the time variable  $t$  is a (non-negative) integer:  $t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

Notation:

$K_t$  = capital in period  $t$

$\delta$  = depreciation rate

$s$  = rate of saving/investment out of income,  $Y_t$

Evolution of capital stock:

$$K_{t+1} = sY_t + (1 - \delta)K_t \quad (13)$$

$L_t$  = population/labor force in period  $t$

$n$  = (net) growth rate of population

$$L_{t+1} = (1 + n)L_t \quad (14)$$

Assume  $n > 0$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 1]$ ;  $s \in (0, 1]$

$$Y_t = F(K_t, L_t) \quad (15)$$

Task: find difference equation for  $k_t = K_t/L_t$ , on the form:  $k_{t+1} = \phi(k_t)$

Use (13) to (15), and  $y_t = Y_t/L_t = F(k_t, 1) = f(k_t)$ , to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{K_{t+1}}{L_t} &= \frac{K_{t+1}}{L_{t+1}} \frac{L_{t+1}}{L_t} = k_{t+1}(1+n) \\ &= \frac{sY_t + (1-\delta)K_t}{L_t} = sy_t + (1-\delta)k_t = sf(k_t) + (1-\delta)k_t \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Or:

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{sf(k_t) + (1-\delta)k_t}{1+n} \equiv \phi(k_t) \quad (17)$$

*Properties of  $\phi(k_t)$*

$$\phi'(k_t) = \frac{1-\delta}{1+n} + \frac{s}{1+n} f'(k_t) > 0$$

$$\phi''(k_t) = \frac{s}{1+n} f''(k_t) < 0$$

$$\lim_{k_t \rightarrow 0} \phi'(k_t) = \frac{1-\delta}{1+n} + \frac{s}{1+n} \lim_{k_t \rightarrow 0} f'(k_t) = \infty \quad (18)$$

$$\lim_{k_t \rightarrow \infty} \phi'(k_t) = \frac{1-\delta}{1+n} + \frac{s}{1+n} \lim_{k_t \rightarrow \infty} f'(k_t) = \frac{1-\delta}{1+n} < 1$$

Together these guarantee: existence, uniqueness, and stability of steady state

(Uniqueness except for the trivial one where  $k_t = 0$ )

Illustrate in 45°-diagram

Check: If one of the 4 properties above change, how come existence, uniqueness, and stability are no longer guaranteed?

Problems: relaxing assumption (3); and (b) letting saving rate ( $s$ ) differ across factor payments



*Parametric example: Cobb-Douglas production*

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= ZK_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha} \\ y_t &= Zk_t^\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{sZk_t^\alpha + (1 - \delta)k_t}{1 + n} \equiv \phi(k_t) \tag{20}$$

Steady state capital stock per worker:

$$\bar{k} = \left( \frac{sZ}{n + \delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}. \tag{21}$$

Steady state output per worker:

$$\bar{y} = Z \left( \frac{sZ}{n + \delta} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} = Z^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left( \frac{s}{n + \delta} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}. \tag{22}$$

Illustrate dynamics, steady state in  $45^\circ$ -diagram

What is effect on output per worker from rise in productivity,  $Z$ ? Steady state and transition? (Let  $Z = Z^*$  for  $t < \hat{t}$  and  $Z = Z^{**} > Z^*$  for  $t \geq \hat{t}$ .)

## The Diamond Overlapping Generations Model

Agents live in two periods: working age, retirement

$L_t$  = number young (working) agents in period  $t$ ;  $L_{t+1} = (1 + n)L_t$

$c_{1,t}$  = consumption of working agent in period  $t$

$c_{2,t}$  = consumption of retired agent in period  $t$

$s_t$  = saving of working agent in period  $t$

$R_{t+1} = 1 + r_{t+1}$  = gross interest rate on savings held from period  $t$  to  $t + 1$

$w_t$  = period- $t$  wage rate

Consider agent young/working in period  $t$

Budget constraints

$$c_{1,t} = w_t - s_t \quad (23)$$

$$c_{2,t+1} = R_{t+1}s_t \quad (24)$$

Utility:

$$U_t = U(c_{1,t}, c_{2,t+1}) \quad (25)$$

Optimal savings decision given by  $s(w_t, R_{t+1})$ , defined from

$$s(w_t, R_{t+1}) = \arg \max_{s_t \in [0, w_t]} U(w_t - s_t, R_{t+1}s_t) \quad (26)$$

Capital accumulation:

Total savings in period  $t$  = total capital stock in period  $t + 1$

$$s(w_t, R_{t+1})L_t = K_{t+1} \quad (27)$$

Recall:  $L_{t+1} = (1 + n)L_t$

$$\frac{K_{t+1}}{L_t} = \frac{K_{t+1}}{L_{t+1}} \frac{L_{t+1}}{L_t} = k_{t+1}(1 + n) = s(w_t, R_{t+1}) \quad (28)$$

Factor prices (recall) given by marginal products

$$w_t = f(k_t) - f'(k_t)k_t \equiv w(k_t) \quad (29)$$

$$R_{t+1} = f'(k_{t+1}) + 1 - \delta \equiv R(k_{t+1}) \quad (30)$$

Thus:

$$k_{t+1} = \phi(k_t) \quad (31)$$

where  $\phi(k_t)$  is defined from

$$\phi(k_t) = \frac{s\{w(k_t), R(\phi(k_t))\}}{1 + n} \quad (32)$$

*The very general case: possibility of indeterminacies*

At given  $k_t$ ,  $k_{t+1}$  is not necessarily unique. To see this, hold  $k_t$  constant, and replace  $\phi(k_t)$  in (32) with  $k_{t+1}$ , i.e.,  $k_{t+1} = s\{w(k_t), R(k_{t+1})\}/(1+n)$ .

Always true that  $R'(k_{t+1}) < 0$ . Assume  $\partial s(\cdot)/\partial R_{t+1} < 0$  (over some interval). Then the right-hand side is increasing in  $k_{t+1}$ . Since the left-hand side is too, there could be multiple  $k_{t+1}$  for given  $k_t$

Intuition: if savings are high, interest rates are low (=marginal product of capital), sustaining high savings [given  $\partial s(\cdot)/\partial R_{t+1} < 0$ ]

Called an *indeterminacy*; implies backward bending  $\phi(k_t)$

Illustration: see 45°-diagram

*Parametric example: logarithmic utility, Cobb-Douglas production*

Log utility:

$$U_t = (1 - \beta) \ln(c_{1,t}) + \beta \ln(c_{2,t+1}) \quad (33)$$

First-order condition:

$$-(1 - \beta)(w_t - s_t)^{-1} + \beta s_t^{-1} = 0 \quad (34)$$

Solving for  $s_t$ :

$$s_t = \beta w_t \quad (35)$$

Cobb-Douglas production:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= Z K_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha} \\ y_t &= Z k_t^\alpha \\ w_t &= (1 - \alpha) Z k_t^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$



$$k_{t+1} = \frac{\beta(1 - \alpha)Zk_t^\alpha}{1 + n} = \phi(k_t) \quad (37)$$

Steady state capital stock per worker:

$$\bar{k} = \left[ \frac{\beta(1 - \alpha)Z}{1 + n} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \quad (38)$$

Steady state output per worker:

$$\bar{y} = Z \left[ \frac{\beta(1 - \alpha)Z}{1 + n} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} = Z^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left[ \frac{\beta(1 - \alpha)}{1 + n} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \quad (39)$$

Illustrate dynamics, steady state in 45°-diagram; no indeterminacies

What is the effect on output per worker from rise in productivity,  $Z$ ? Steady state and transition? (Let  $Z = Z^*$  for  $t < \hat{t}$  and  $Z = Z^{**} > Z^*$  for  $t \geq \hat{t}$ .)

### *Poverty traps in the Diamond OLG model*

Keep assumption about logarithmic utility ( $s_t = \beta w_t$ ) but allow for richer production function

$$k_{t+1} = \frac{\beta [f(k_t) - f'(k_t)k_t]}{1 + n} \equiv \phi(k_t) \quad (40)$$

$$\phi'(k_t) = \frac{-\beta}{1 + n} f''(k_t) k_t > 0 \quad (41)$$

$$\phi''(k_t) = \frac{-\beta}{1 + n} [f'''(k_t) k_t + f''(k_t)] \geq 0 \quad (42)$$

The sign of  $\phi''(k_t)$  depends on the *third derivative* of production function, about which we have not made any assumptions

Multiple steady states possible, even with neoclassical production function

Illustrate dynamics, (all) steady states in 45°-diagram

Which are stable, unstable?

## The Malthus Model

Agents live in two periods: children, adults

$L_t$  = number adult (working) agents in period  $t$

$c_t$  = consumption of adult agent in period  $t$

$q$  = cost per child (can be interpreted as consumption per child); exogenous

$n_t$  = number of children per adult

$$L_{t+1} = n_t L_t \quad (43)$$

Note: notation is different from above!!  $n_t - 1$  is here net growth rate of  $L_t$

$y_t$  = period- $t$  income per adult

$Y_t$  = total output

Production function: land, labor as inputs

$$Y_t = F(X, L_t) \quad (44)$$

$X$  = land (here constant)

Adult's budget constraint:

$$c_t = y_t - qn_t \quad (45)$$

Utility

$$U_t = U(c_t, n_t) \quad (46)$$

*Parametric example: logarithmic utility, Cobb-Douglas production*

$$U_t = (1 - \beta) \ln(c_t) + \beta \ln(n_t) \quad (47)$$

Utility maximization gives

$$n_t = \left( \frac{\beta}{q} \right) y_t \quad (48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= Z(X)^\alpha (L_t)^{1-\alpha} \\ y_t &= \frac{Y_t}{L_t} = Z \left( \frac{X}{L_t} \right)^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Dynamics equation for (adult) population:

$$L_{t+1} = n_t L_t = \left( \frac{\beta}{q} \right) Z \left( \frac{X}{L_t} \right)^\alpha L_t = \left( \frac{\beta}{q} \right) Z X^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha} \quad (50)$$

Illustrate dynamics, steady state in 45°-diagram

Steady state population:

$$\bar{L} = \left[ \left( \frac{\beta}{q} \right) Z X^\alpha \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \left[ \left( \frac{\beta}{q} \right) Z \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} X \quad (51)$$

Steady state output per worker:

$$\bar{y} = \frac{q}{\beta} \quad (52)$$

What is the effect on output per worker from rise in productivity,  $Z$ ? Steady state and transition? (Let  $Z = Z^*$  for  $t < \hat{t}$  and  $Z = Z^{**} > Z^*$  for  $t \geq \hat{t}$ .)

## Taking stock

Different models, different assumptions, different results

Some questions of interest to development economists:

- Should we expect economies to converge over time to the same steady state? Role of policy? Changing parameters? Productivity?
- One or many steady states? Poverty traps? Value of “big push” policies? Foreign aid?
- Should we expect improved productivity to even affect living standards in steady state? (Not in a Malthusian model.) If not, is it worthwhile to even try to raise productivity?